

<i>Interview Summary</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/021,753	FUJISE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	J. E. Angell	1635	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) J. E. Angell. (3) _____.

(2) Gina Shishima. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 68.

Identification of prior art discussed: US2002/0102532.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 68 was discussed including a discussion of US2002/0102532 and an Examiner's amendment that would put claim 68 in allowable form. Applicants representative, Gina Shishima, after given time to discuss the proposed amendment with the Inventors, returned a telephone call and indicated that the Examiner's amendment was approved.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/J. E. Angell/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1635